

AREAS RECOMMENDED FOR TIGHT FORMATIONS  
IN WIRT, ROANE AND CALHOUN COUNTIES,  
WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia  
Tight Formation Committee's Report  
January 1983

## CONTENTS

Introduction .....	1
Geographical and Geological Description .....	2
Geological and Engineering Data .....	8
Criteria and Method of Designating Tight Formation Areas .....	8
Natural Flows .....	8
Permeability Data .....	9
Oil Production .....	10
Tight Formation Map Construction .....	10
Map Descriptions and Recommendations .....	12
"Little Lime" and "Blue Monday" sandstone .....	12
"Big Lime" and "Keener" sandstone .....	12
"Big Injun" and "Squaw" sandstones .....	13
Berea Sandstone .....	14
"Gantz" and "Gordon" sandstones .....	15
Protection of Fresh Water .....	16
Conclusions .....	18
References .....	20
Appendix .....	45
1. Computer listing of all wells producing from the "Little Lime" and the "Blue Monday" sandstone in Wirt, Roane, and Calhoun Counties West Virginia.	
2. Computer listing of all wells producing from the "Big Lime" and the "Keener" sandstone in Wirt, Roane, and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia.	
3. Computer listing of all wells producing from the "Big Injun" and "Squaw" sandstones in Wirt, Roane, and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia.	
4. Computer listing of all wells producing from the Berea Sandstone in Wirt, Roane, and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia.	
5. Computer listing of all wells producing from the "Gantz" and "Gordon" sandstones in Wirt, Roane, and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I. Location Map - Study Area ..... 21

Figure II. Location Map - Cores and Cross Sections ..... 22

Figure III. Type Stratigraphic Section of the "Big Lime" and the "Big Injun" sandstone ..... 23

Figure IV. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Lime" in core from well no. 8, Roane County ..... 24

Figure V. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Injun" in core from Columbia Gas #19, Calhoun County ..... 25

Figure VI. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Injun" in core from Calhoun 2987 ..... 26

Figure VII. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Injun" in core from Roane 2067 ..... 27

Figure VIII. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Injun" in core from Roane 2079 ..... 28

Figure IX. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of "Big Injun" in core from well no. 8, Roane County ..... 29

Figure X. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of Berea in core from Calhoun 2846 ..... 30

Figure XI. Plot of Porosity vs. Permeability of Berea in core from Roane 2081 ..... 31

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	"Big Lime" Core Data, well no. 8, Roane County .....	32
Table 2.	"Keener" Core Data, well no. 8, Roane County .....	33
Table 3.	"Big Injun" (Loyalhanna) Core Data, well no. 8, Roane County ...	34
Table 4.	"Big Injun" Core Data, well no. 19, Calhoun County .....	35
Table 5.	"Big Injun" (Loyalhanna) Core Data, Calhoun 2987 .....	37
Table 6.	"Big Injun" Core Data, Roane 2067 .....	38
Table 7.	"Big Injun" Core Data, Roane 2079 .....	39
Table 8.	Berea Sandstone Core Data, Calhoun 2846 .....	40
Table 9.	Berea Sandstone Core Data, Roane 2081 .....	41
Table 10.	Porosity Cut-off, "Big Lime" and "Keener" .....	42
Table 11.	Porosity Cut-off, "Big Injun" and "Squaw" .....	43
Table 12.	Porosity Cut-off, Berea Sandstone .....	44

## LIST OF PLATES

(in pocket at back of this report)

- Plate 1. Cross Section A-A', North-South Through Wirt and Roane Counties, West Virginia
- Plate 2. Cross Section B-B', East-West Through Wirt and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia
- Plate 3. Cross Section C-C', East-West Through Roane and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia
- Plate 4. "Little Lime" and "Blue Monday" Tight Formation Map
- Plate 5. "Big Lime" and "Keener" Tight Formation Map
- Plate 6. "Big Injun" and "Squaw" Tight Formation Map
- Plate 7. Berea Sandstone Tight Formation Map
- Plate 8. "Gantz" and "Gordon" Tight Formation Map

## INTRODUCTION

This report of the West Virginia Tight Formation Committee covers Wirt, Roane and Calhoun Counties, West Virginia. Sandstones recommended by the committee for qualification as tight formations are described in the first section of this report under "Geographical and Geological Descriptions."

The second section, "Geological and Engineering Data," describes the types of data used in making these recommendations. The Committee's recommendations are based on calculations of expected in-situ permeabilities and/or natural production rates of gas and oil, as outlined in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Order No. 99 for tight formations. The Committee also addresses the requirement of protecting fresh water aquifers before setting forth its final recommendations in a concluding section.

cannot differentiate between the "Big Injun" and "Squaw"; therefore, production from the "Squaw" sandstone may be recorded as "Big Injun" and is included with it on the Tight Formation Map (Plate 6).

4. The Berea Sandstone is the basal unit of the Lower Mississippian Pocono Group. It usually underlies a dark gray to black shale named the Sunbury or "Coffee Shale" (drillers' terminology) and overlies the thick Devonian shales.

Lithologically the Berea is generally a light gray, very fine-grained sandstone. The grain shape is angular to subangular and sorting is fair. The Berea is slightly argillaceous and slightly calcareous and ranges from loosely to tightly cemented.

Geometrically, it is an elongated sand body that trends northeasterly, and underlies the northern portions of Roane and Calhoun Counties. It probably formed as a shallow marine bar sand or as a channel sand in the delta system.

In a core from Calhoun County (permit number 2846) the Berea contains pebbles and conglomerate. Its porosity ranges from 4.2% to 11.7% and its thickness is 19 feet. A Roane County (permit number 2081) core section shows a porosity range of 4.4% to 13.7% and a thickness of 14 feet.

5. The "Gantz" and Gordon" sandstones are of Late Devonian age with the "Gantz" being the uppermost sandstone of Devonian age. The "Gantz" underlies the Berea Sandstone, when the Berea is present; otherwise, it underlies shales of the Pocono Group. In some areas the Gantz is absent and a green-gray shale occupies its position.

Lithologically the "Gantz" is a slightly calcareous, argillaceous, green-gray sandstone with interbedded siltstone. The "Gantz" thins from east to west across the northern part of the study area, and also across the south-central portion. Its thickness ranges up to 60 feet, within an average of 40

feet. The "Gantz" is a marine sandstone and is very similar to the Berea in lithology, often being mistaken for Berea Sandstone.

The "Gordon" may occur as a lenticular sand body in a zone up to 200 feet thick, beginning 120 to 180 feet below the top of the "Gantz" sandstone. The intervening interval includes the drillers' "Thirty-foot", "Fifty-foot", and "Gordon Stray" sandstones. In the study area, these sands are of marine origin and are very fine-grained sandstones, or siltstones.



Geophysical logs for these two wells were unavailable for log plots; therefore, no comparison was made between measured core porosities and log-calculated porosities. The assumption was made on the basis of previous Tight Formation reports that calculated log-derived porosities may be used, where cores do not exist, to determine permeability less than 0.1 md.

Please refer to the Berea Sandstone Tight Formation map (Plate 7). Fields with an average log-calculated porosity of less than 9.2% (Table 12) will qualify as tight formation fields, providing that the natural open flow rate does not exceed the allowed flow rate per FERC regulations. Encircled areas on this map were disqualified on the basis of: a) wells with initial open flows exceeding those allowed by FERC regulations, and b) wells with oil production exceeding 5 barrels per day as allowed by FERC regulations. Areas where porosity exceeds 9.2% in the Berea Sandstone do not qualify for tight formation designation. However, no logs were available to calculate and utilize these data. All other areas qualify for Tight Formation designation.

#### "Gantz" and "Gordon" sandstones

No cores were available from wells penetrating the "Gantz" and "Gordon" sandstones; thus, none were analyzed for permeability versus porosity plots.

This pay sand qualifies for tight formation designation because natural initial open flow rates of wells penetrating this interval do not exceed rates versus depth allowed by FERC regulations.

Refer to the "Gantz" and "Gordon" Tight Formation map (Plate 8). Encircled areas on this map are disqualified on the basis of wells with oil production in excess of 5 barrels per day as allowed by FERC regulations. All other areas on this map qualify for tight formation designation.